

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Arun Kr. Hati & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

. Case No. OA-590 of 2019

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">03</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">19.11.2019</p> <p>Page /2</p>	<p>same, considering Rule 36 of the Death cum Retirement Benefit Rule 1971 by condoning the deficiency of six months in qualifying service and adjust the services of the applicants accordingly so that the applicants may get the pension from the office of the respondents on the strength of such order passed by the Superintendent of Police, Hooghly.</p> <p>3. And to pass such order or orders or other further orders as deem fit and proper.</p> <p>The counsel for the applicant has submitted that he wants to press this application for applicant No. 1 and has prayed for leave to withdraw for other four applicants with a liberty to file afresh. Prayer is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per the applicant, applicant No. 1</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Arun Kr. Hati & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

. Case No. OA-590 of 2019

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">03</p> <hr/> <p>19.11.2019</p> <p>Page /3</p>	<p>had joined in service on 19.01.2007 and retired on 30.09.2016, therefore, he has not completed ten years of service and for that he has not been granted pension. However, according to the applicant, as per Rule 36 of D.C.R.B. Rules, 1971, there is a provision, if there is shortfall of within six months, it can be considered and in the instant case, there is shortfall of only four months time. Therefore, his case may be considered. He has also filed representation dated 02.08.2007 (Annexure-A-4) page 62 which is still pending before the authority.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The counsel for the applicant has placed one order passed by this Tribunal in OA -809 of 2011 in this regard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">During the course of hearing, the counsel for the applicant has submitted that it would suffice his purpose, if the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home & Hill Affairs Deptt. would be directed to consider the case of the applicant</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Arun Kr. Hati & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

. Case No. OA-590 of 2019

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">03</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">19.11.2019</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 200px;">sc</p>	<p>within a stipulated period of time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The counsel for the respondent has no objection to such proposition.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard both sides and perused the records. Accordingly, Respondent No. 1 & 2 are directed to consider the case of the applicant as per the rule, after taking into account the order passed by Tribunal dated 10.04.2019 in OA-809 of 2016 and to pass a speaking and reasoned order after giving an opportunity to the applicant of personal hearing. According the application is disposed of with the above observation with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J) </p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Arun Kr. Hati & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

. Case No. OA-590 of 2019

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
P/4 02 <hr/> 15.02.2019		

